



RAINFOR

field manual

for plot establishment and

remeasurement

Oliver Phillips, Tim Baker, Ted Feldpausch and Roel Brienem

with contributions from

Samuel Almeida, Luzmila Arroyo, Gerardo Aymard, Jerome Chave, Nallaret Dávila Cardozo, Kuo-Jung Chao, Niro Higuchi, Eurídice Honorio, Eliana Jiménez, Simon L. Lewis, Jon Lloyd, Gabriela López-González, Yadvinder Malhi, Abel Monteagudo, David Neill, Sandra Patiño, Julie Peacock, Antonio Peña Cruz, Maria Cristina Peñuela, Adriana Prieto, Carlos Quesada, Fredy Ramírez, Michael Schwarz, Javier Silva, Marcos Silveira, Geertje van der Heijden, Rodolfo Vásquez



First edition, 2001 | This edition, 2009

Introduction

The tropical forests of Amazonia constitute one of the most important ecosystems of the Earth. They account for 45% of the world's tropical forest, storing about one fifth of the carbon residing in terrestrial vegetation and annually processing about three times as much carbon through photosynthesis and respiration as humans release to the atmosphere through fossil fuel combustion. Amazonia also accounts for a large portion of global land surface evapotranspiration, and a significant fraction of the world's known species. Relatively small changes in the structure and/or function of these forests could therefore have global consequences for biodiversity, the carbon cycle and the rate of climate change.

RAINFOR (The Amazon Forest Inventory Network, Rede Amazônica de Inventários Florestais, Red Amazónica de Inventarios Forestales) is an attempt to utilise long-term permanent sample plots (PSPs) to monitor forest biomass and dynamics, and relate these observation to soil and climate across the Amazon forest region. Many of these plots were established in the past to investigate specific local ecological or forest management questions. However, by compiling and comparing these studies on a *regional* scale a whole new level of information becomes available: information that may provide vital insights into the mechanisms underlying the current responses of Amazonian ecosystems to climate and the possible future of Amazonia under global change scenarios.

The studies associated with RAINFOR have the following objectives:

1. Quantify long term changes in forest biomass and turnover to date.
2. Relate *current* forest structure, ecophysiology, biomass and dynamics to local climate and soil properties.
3. Understand the relationships between productivity, mortality and biomass.
4. Use relationships (i) to (iii) to understand how changes in climate may affect the biomass and productivity of the Amazon forest as a whole, and inform basin-scale models of carbon dynamics.
5. Examine variability of tree biodiversity across Amazonia, and its relationship to soils and climate.

One potential problem with the analysis of data from many different sources is the use of different methodologies at different sites. In addition, the impact of any changes in the methodology over time needs to be assessed before apparent temporal changes in dynamics can be considered robust. An important component of RAINFOR is to encourage discussion of methodological issues and the standardisation of forest inventory protocols. To help achieve this, this manual sets out the procedures for plot establishment and remeasurement that have

been developed over the course of RAINFOR fieldwork in northern Peru, Bolivia and Ecuador 2001/2, and includes some minor refinements since then.

Plot establishment

A. Location

The pan-Amazon strategy within RAINFOR is to maintain sample forest plots across the edaphic range within each climatic zone and regional plot cluster (Malhi *et al.* 2002). New plots should be randomly located within local, geomorphological strata that satisfy certain logistical criteria. New plots should:

- be on reasonably homogenous soil parent material and soil type
- have adequate access
- have sufficient long term security from human disturbance
- have sufficient long term institutional support

However, in most Amazonian research sites, accurate habitat maps are lacking, which prohibits complete stratified sampling at large scales. Similarly at local scales, identifying geomorphological strata is difficult because no accurate soil maps exist. Satellite images help in identifying the range of vegetation types that might be found in any one area, but problems with the scale of resolution and lack of ground-truthing limit the ability to accurately predict the exact distribution. Information from local residents and botanists who know the area can be very useful. Logistical constraints are also important: it is impractical to locate a plot greater than 1 hour from the field base, and it can be difficult to fit a 1 hectare plot into a forest that is dissected by tracks.

B. Position

Within strata, plots should be randomly located, to avoid 'majestic forest' bias. If maps are available, plot location should be randomly assigned prior to going to the field. If not, in the field, there may be a tendency to start the plot in particularly 'good' forest. If maps are available, the position of the plot starting point can be randomised by locating it in a random direction at a random distance >20m (i.e. out of sight), of the original, potentially 'biased' starting point.

C. Timing

To minimise the errors caused by variation in stem water content between successive enumerations, plots should be measured over whole year intervals and at the time of year when there is least interannual variation in soil water availability. For plots in areas that experience severe interannual variation in rainfall due to El Niño events, the best time of year is during the wet season.

D. Orientation

N/S and E/W directions for the principal axes of the plot are the most convenient but the eccentricities of the local strata may prevent this. The bearings of the main axis, and the latitude, longitude and elevation of the centre of the plot should be recorded. Note if true or magnetic north is used.

E. Shape

It is important to maintain homogeneity within the plot, so the shape of the geomorphological strata is an important consideration. Square plots have lower edge:area ratio than rectangular plots, so have fewer problems with decisions concerning the presence of trees in or outside the plot at the edges. However, rectangular plots will be less disturbed by cut lines within the plot, less susceptible to any 'mature forest' bias, and the dynamics they record will be less influenced by single tree fall events. Both shapes are used within the RAINFOR network.

F. Size

The coefficient of variation of basal area increases as sample plot size decreases below 0.4 ha in Costa Rica (Clark and Clark 2000). 1 ha is a standard size, greater than the scale of typical tree fall events, but sufficiently small to sample individual soil types. 20 x 20 m is a convenient subplot size.

G. Topography

New plots within RAINFOR should be set up to sample one hectare of land surface, which requires some flexibility with bearings and distances when closing the final side of the plot. External and internal boundaries of the plot are measured in 20m segments. In some cases, a planar projection of 1 ha of forest has been used (Dallmeier 1992, Condit 1998) and

slope corrections applied: the distance to be measured parallel to the ground for each segment is given by:

$$d = 20/\cos\theta$$

where θ is the inclination of slope in degrees. Plots laid out in this way will always tend to include a greater surface area of land surface, and correction factors allowing comparison between plots on the basis of land surface area need to be calculated.

H. Visibility

It must be possible to relocate plots, but any permanent markers used must not attract too much attention! Plastic stakes can be installed in each of the four corners of the plot, driven well into the ground, with approximately 10 cm showing above the ground. It may be convenient to also locate stakes every 20m around the plot edges, this is especially important if there is a serious intention to monitor the plot for long periods to reduce edge-associated measurement errors in trees recruitment.

I. Stringing the plot

This works well with 4 people: 1 with compass, 1 to cut line, 1 to measure the distance and 1 to follow behind laying out the string. Stringing the base line of the plot and then carefully stringing each subplot is the most accurate method of delimiting the plot.

Any cutting should be kept to the absolute minimum - remember, any impacts can affect growth of trees in the plot and the long term recruitment of new individuals.

J. Tagging trees

Tagging and measuring the trees can be done concurrently by three people. One person should work out the best order in which the trees should be tagged, and tag them, one measure, and one take notes and roughly map the plot.

Trees are included if greater than 50 % of the roots are inside the plot. Trees should be tagged systematically moving round each subplot with the last tree tagged in each subplot close the start point of the next subplot.

Knock in the nail at a slight downward angle, just far enough so that it penetrates the bark and is secure but leaving as much space as possible for the tree to grow without "eating" the tag. Tag at 1.60m, or exactly 30 cm above the POM, and consistently on the same side of the trees throughout the subplot. It is helpful to mark a line 30 cm on the hammer so that the

hammer can be used to measure 30 cm above the POM to place the tag. In square, 100 x 100m plots it is useful to tag each successive line of subplots on a different side of the tree, as this helps identify where the internal lines of the plot are on subsequent occasions. Iron nails are required for the trees with the hardest external wood (e.g., palms). Note:

- Newly broken or deciduous trees can be completely leafless so check carefully: they are living and should be tagged as long as the cambium beneath the bark is live.
- Multiple-stemmed trees are tagged only on the largest stem that is ≥ 10 cm diameter at 1.30m height. If two stems of the same species are very close together, check the roots carefully to see if the stems join below ground.
- Fallen trees should be checked carefully to see if they are still alive, as with standing trees. They should be tagged 1.60m from the tree base.
- Tag each liana stem that is ≥ 10 cm diameter at any point within 2.5m of the ground, even if < 10 cm at 1.30m. CHECK CAREFULLY AS THEY CAN BE EASY TO MISS! Each climbing liana stem that meets this criterion AND is separately rooted counts as one individual plant (but check carefully to see that the point where the stem meets the ground is actually rooted and not simply covered by leaf litter). See detailed section (L) on liana measurement.

K. Tree measurement


Measure at 1.3 m height wherever possible as the standard diameter at reference height (DRH). IF 1.3 m IS NOT USED AS THE POINT OF MEASUREMENT ("POM") IN ORDER TO AVOID DEFORMITIES OR BUTTRESS ROOTS, RECORD THE HEIGHT OF THE POM at the alternate DRH. Use a pole with 1.3 m marked, pushed firmly into the leaf litter to the mineral soil next to the tree, to define POM (Swaine, et al. 1987, Condit 1998). Note that the reference height is not the vertical height above the ground, but should be measured as the straight line distance along the trunk, even if it is leaning or bent. In plots where the trees are tagged at 1.6m height, the POM is 30cm below the tag unless noted otherwise.

The exact measurement point should be marked with chalk (white or yellow) by the measurer, and the location then painted with emulsion paint (yellow or red).


- **Climbers:** The tape is passed under any vines or roots on the stem and then is moved back and forth to clean the POM of loose bark and debris. Hemiepiphytes, or lianas that

closely hug the stem should be lifted not cut. In rare cases where lianas or stranglers are firmly attached to the tree stem, the diameter can be estimated by holding the tape perpendicular to the stem at the POM, or using an optical method (see below).

- **Buttresses:** If the tree is buttressed at 1.3 m, measure stem 50 cm above the top of the buttress (Condit 1998). Record height of POM.



- **Deformities:** If the tree has a major stem deformity at 1.3 m height, then measure 2 cm below the deformity (Condit 1998). Record POM height.
- **Fluted trees:** Trees that are fluted for their entire length should be measured at 1.3 m.
- **Slopes and fallen or leaning trees:** Diameter at reference height (DRH) is always calculated on the downhill side of the tree, and trees that are fallen or leaning are always measured at 1.3m length along the side of the stem closest to the ground. This procedure avoids confusion with the common situation when trees are both on slopes and leaning – trees usually lean downhill and these rules mean there is no confusion regarding the side of the tree used to measure the POM. On fallen trees it is difficult to define the base of the trunk accurately – therefore measure the tree 30 cm below the tag.



- **Stilt-rooted trees:** Stilt rooted individuals should be measured 50 cm above the highest stilt root and the POM recorded.

- **Resprouts:** On standing, but broken trees, or fallen individuals, the main stem and resprouts are measured at 1.3 m from the base of the stem. A resprouting individual is only included if the resprouts are greater than 1.3 m from the stem base.
- **Multiple stems:** All stems greater than 10 cm at 1.3 m are measured, painted, and recorded.
- **Large buttress trees:** Large buttress trees should be left by the measurement team and done separately later: it typically takes two people one day to measure the large trees in a plot. A ladder is essential to reach the POM of some large trees, and in some cases two ladders may be needed to ensure accurate measurement. If the POM cannot be reached, then diameter should be measured by a climber with a diameter tape, or, if impossible by a climber, then as a last option by digital camera. Measurement by relaskop is not recommended.

For digital camera measurements:

When the POM can not be reached by ladder or by a climber, a digital camera provides a reasonably accurate method for measuring the diameter of large trees. A photo is taken of the stem of the tree at the POM together with a horizontally aligned ruler or tape for scaling. From each photo, the diameter and scale can be measured in pixels, and the number of pixels per cm calculated using photo editing software. This method works best if a laptop computer is also available every evening to download the digital data and calculate diameter. This allows for a return visit to the tree the next day in case any uncertainties remain.

Record:

- height of POM
- height of camera
- compass bearing from camera to tree
- horizontal distance from camera to the centre of the tree
- length of the reference scale
- photo number
- diameter estimate, as a check

Error is minimised by: 1) photographing a ruler or tape as long as the tree diameter. An old tape can be cut and attached to a palm frond or very straight branch or stretched between two poles; 2) keeping the angle from the camera to the POM as shallow as possible. To achieve this, photos should be taken from as large distance from the tree as possible (minimum 5 m, recommended 10+m). The maximum zoom possible should be used to ensure the reference scale can be read. Using a high ISO (e.g. ≥ 800) allows the photos to be taken without a tripod. 3) Digital camera measurements should be made at the same POM from a minimum of two different, perpendicular points due to asymmetric stems, and painted at the point or side where the photo was taken. Paint can be applied by attaching a paintbrush horizontally to a long pole.

A correction should be applied for this optical method as it fractionally underestimates diameter (see Correction for Optical, below).

L. Liana measurement

Lianas present special measurement challenges for long-term plot studies. We have developed a range of protocols to maximise long-term comparability across sites and through time at individual sites.

Selecting the point of measurement (POM) for lianas is particularly tricky, and has not been well standardised making comparisons among different research groups difficult. ***Our protocol calls for each liana stem to be measured at three different points, to maximise comparability within the site for time-dependent analyses (growth, recruitment, mortality), across the whole RAINFOR dataset, and with other studies worldwide.***

We include any liana or hemi-epiphyte that reaches 10cm diameter at any point along the stem between 0 and 2.5m above the ground. 0 is defined as the last rooting point. For lianas and hemiepiphytes, record the diameter measurements at three points:

1. at 130cm *along the stem from the principal rooting point* (= "d1.3largo"),
2. at 130cm *vertically above the ground* (i.e., 30cm below the nail in plots where plants are tagged at 1.6m), (= "d1.3altura")
3. and ALSO at the widest point on the stem within 2.5m of the ground (= "dmax"), including any deformity.

Check carefully for the maximum diameter point – in lianas it is often close to the ground or a branching node where anomalous growth can be most marked. Describe the

maximum diameter measurement point precisely in notes (e.g., 'by ground', '10cm above tag' etc.).

Paint all POMs carefully with emulsion paint, just as with trees. Tags should be at a point measured 30 cm above the POM (*130 cm vertically above the ground*).

Some lianas are 'cabled' (e.g., some Malpighiaceae) with the cables progressively splitting as the liana ages and each cable thickens; in these cases it is difficult to measure the liana in a way to permit long-term estimation of radial growth increments. For these lianas, diameter is estimated by tightening the diameter tape around all adjacent cables originating from the same root base. Other lianas are clearly elliptic in cross-section (reaching extremes in some 'monkey-ladder' *Bauhinia* spp); these stems should be measured in two ways: conventionally (i.e. wrap the tape round the whole stem) and by twice measuring the linear distance of each of the maximum and minimum dimension and taking the geometric mean. Following these conventions, every liana that attains ≥ 10.0 cm dmax should be tagged and measured.

Further difficulties can be presented by deciding where one liana ends and another starts. Thus, lianas are sometimes connected to one another below ground but this can be hard to establish. Therefore, for ease of application we apply the criterion that any climbing stem that fully enters the mineral soil counts as an independent plant (= an "apparent genet"). If unsure, then tag the stem and comment that it may be the same as another stem. In cases where the liana plant branches, each branch that branches within 2.50m vertical distance from the ground and attains ≥ 10.0 cm dmax is measured (as with all trees that branch at ≤ 1.30 m). In practice it is extremely rare for a branching liana to have two or more branches ≥ 10 cm diameter (on average in Amazonia this occurs at a frequency of < 0.1 per ha).

For each liana stem (or ascending branch if there are more than one), note the number of the tree in which tree(s) the liana crown is in and record the tree number whose crown is most heavily affected by the liana. The purpose of this is to generate simple and comparable estimates of liana/tree interactions (e.g., estimate the extent to which liana infestation may enhance the probability of tree death). If the host tree is outside the plot it will not have a number: in these cases the tree diameter should be measured directly (tape) or visually (digital camera method).

M. Data recording

In summary, the following details should be recorded:

Trees:

- subplot number
- estimated X and Y co-ordinates from bottom left-hand corner of plot
- diameter at reference height (DRH), generally 1.3 m
- POM, if different from 1.3 m
- ladder, or digital camera used.
- bole form (see Appendix 1 for codes).

Measurements of multiply measured buttressed trees should be put in the same row of the data table for ease of converting to stem BA values and individual stem records for mortality rates etc. Measurements of multiple stemmed trees go in separate rows. Digital camera measurements go on separate sheet, coded on original fieldsheets.

Lianas:

- Diameter at 1.3 m along the stem
- Diameter at 1.3 m vertical height
- Max. diameter at any point below 2.5 m
- Tree(s) that liana canopy is in
- Tree most heavily affected by the liana canopy

Sub-plot

- Sketch map of tree locations
- Slopes of subplot boundaries
- Soil texture and drainage

Plot

- Lat./Long
- Elevation
- Bearings of plot boundaries
- Local landmarks to assist plot relocation
- Rooting depth: For fallen trees – evaluate depth of rooting mat, depth of deepest root; record if it has a taproot, and the taproot diameter. Record species and DRH of the downed tree, and topographical position.

N. Tree bole length and total tree height

In addition, tree heights should be measured, to establish plot level diameter/height relationships for accurate modelling of tree-by-tree volumes for each plot, and test whether tree shape differs between stands in different environmental conditions. The aim is to characterise the 'ideal' height/diameter curve as determined by climatic and edaphic conditions and not confused by the influence of damaged trees. Three main methods are used to measure tree height: mechanical hypsometer, electronic hypsometer and laser. An ultrasonic distance measuring system with electronic hypsometers (e.g. Haglöf Vertex) increases the number of trees that can be measured in a day. The laser can be fired vertically into the crown from several points directly below the crown of the tree and height recorded as the height of the observer plus the distance of the furthest return for the laser. An advantage of laser range finders is that it is impossible to overestimate the height of independent tall crowns and measurements are faster than with the traditional hypsometers.

Excluding trees coded as leaning, rotten, broken, forked below 5m, fallen or resprouted, randomly select from the plot fieldsheets:

- 10 individuals, 10-20 cm DRH
- 10 individuals, 20-30 cm DRH
- 10 individuals, 30-50 cm DRH
- 10 individuals greater than 50 cm DRH.

For both mechanical and electronic hypsometers, from a suitable viewpoint, (angles of approx. 45° to the first branch are ideal as they minimise the error from any inaccuracy in measuring the angle):

- the angle to the base of the first main branch (a). A main branch is defined as one greater than 5 cm diameter, with leaves.
- the horizontal distance from this point to the centre of the tree (x)
- the angle to the base of the stem (b).

$$\text{Bole height} = x (\tan(a) + \tan(b)).$$

For measurement of total tree height, 'a' should be substituted by the angle to the top of the crown.

If in the field, a tree is found to be unsuitable (impossible to get a suitable viewpoint, for example), then the nearest stem in the correct size class should be used.

O. Wood density measurements

A rapid approach to assessing stand-level wood density has also been developed. This variable is necessary to achieve greater accuracy in measurements of biomass than those based solely on plot basal area, and can also be used as a functional measure of forest species composition. Measurements of branch wood density in the field provide information on (usually non-timber) species that have not previously been studied.

From branches cut down from the crown for botanical collection or leaf nutrient analysis collect 10 cm long samples of at least 1.5 cm diameter and store the sample in plastic bags. In the evening measure the maximum and minimum diameter of each end of the sample measured to 0.1 mm using calipers. When measurements are not possible directly after sampling, 'fresh' volume is measured following rehydration of the samples in water overnight. Dry these samples in air in the field (fungus will grow if they are kept in plastic bags). Dry the samples overnight in a herbarium drier. Density is calculated as dry weight over fresh volume. Record sample mass to 0.01 g.

P. Botanical collection

For new plots, all individuals that cannot be identified to species in the field with 100% confidence need to be collected. Samples need to be pressed and transported to the relevant herbarium. Morphospecies duplicates should be identified in the field to avoid unnecessary collections being made. Botanical collection, identification, and specimen curation are specialised and time-consuming processes. To enable among-site and longitudinal within-site comparisons of floristic pattern and change requires planning, investment, and long-term involvement of botanists. Here we simply flag these issues but do not attempt to cover them in detail. Developments in digital herbaria (e.g. Atrium: <http://atrium.andesamazon.org/index.php>) and DNA bar-coding (e.g. Bridge: <http://ecofog.cirad.fr/Bridge/>) are helping improve accuracy in consistent application of species concepts, but careful botanical collections will remain an essential attribute of long-term biodiversity monitoring.

Q. Suggested timing and personnel for a 1-ha new plot

Locating and stringing a plot: 3-4 people, 2 days

Tree tagging, painting, mapping and measurement: 4 people, 3 days

Large trees and tree heights: 2 people, 1 ½ days

Topography: 2 people, ½ day

Botanical collection: 2-3 people, 10 days [assuming moderate prior knowledge and median Amazon alpha-diversity, 150 species per ha]

Total ~48 person-day

Additional time may need to be allocated for significant rain delays, breaks for field-team rest and recreation, and unforeseen circumstances. Botanical collection-times are very variable, being sensitive to the difficulty (number of species), weather conditions, and the physical skills and technical knowledge of the team.

Plot remeasurement

A. Stringing

For relocating a previously established plot, run string along all the outer edges of the plot, using the bearings and the location of previously tagged trees to help define the plot edge and, where available, any edge stakes. This is quite straightforward where the understorey is clear and most trees still have their tags, but is time-consuming where many trees have lost their tags and/or the plot edge crosses a tree-fall. Using a previous map of the trees, if available, can help. Recorded compass bearings for plot edges are obviously helpful too but beware: small deviations in a bearing can result in incorrect exclusion or inclusion of large numbers of trees growing close to the plot edges. If you do follow a bearing, always check that the string is not excluding any previously tagged trees or including any large trees that obviously have never been tagged. Run string along each subplot edge, to follow the old number sequences.

B. Tree and liana measurement

One person takes notes, using waterproof paper pre-printed with plot tree information. The note-taker should use any existing map of tree positions, if one is available. Hand-drawn maps are not precise but should be good enough to work out where trees should be - and therefore where to search for them if the measurement team does not locate them.

The same measurement protocols should be used as above. When remeasuring trees, if the top of buttress has grown within 30 cm of the marked POM, in addition to measuring at original POM, measure diameter 50 cm above first POM and paint the new POM, not the old POM. Discard low POMs as buttress extends over them with time. This procedure ensures that there is always a consistent, non-buttressed measurement of diameter growth.

C. Dealing with buttressed trees

Where plots have been established using different protocols, there can be problems with the measurements of buttressed trees. We have developed various approaches aiming to obtain unbiased, above-buttress, estimates of plot basal area and growth. The approach used depends on whether previous measurements were ‘good’ or ‘bad’, and on subsequent buttress growth.

Field POM Change Guide

Type of POM Change	Previous measurement	Current measurement	Field protocol	D1	D2	Field Sheet Comments
<u>Non-buttress POM Change:</u>	‘Good’: above buttress & POM recorded	affected by irregular stem form, damage to trunk, etc	<u>two</u> measures: i) at original POM (D2 written in comments); ii) above deformity (D1); paint new POM	D@POM above damage/deformity	D@ original POM	write "previously D@POM (<u>irregular stem</u> , <u>damaged stem</u> , etc.)"
<u>Anticipated POM Change:</u>	‘Good’: above buttress & POM recorded	current measure ‘Good’, but close to buttress (< 50 cm)	<u>two</u> measures: i) at original POM (D1); ii) above buttress at new POM (write in <u>comments</u> as future measurement); paint <u>future</u> POM	D@Original POM	=D1	write "Future POM: D@POM (current POM OK)"
<u>Standard POM change:</u>	‘Good’: above buttress & POM recorded	<u>POM slightly affected by small buttress</u>	<u>two</u> measures: i) at original POM (D2 written in comments); ii) above buttress (D1); paint new POM	D@POM above buttress	D@ original POM	write "previously D@POM (<u>small</u> , <u>intermediate</u> or <u>large</u> buttress)"
<u>Poor POM change:</u>	‘Poor’: around buttress, or diameter estimated at known POM	POM affected strongly by large buttress	<u>two</u> measures: i) at original POM (D2 written in comments); ii) above buttress (D1); paint new POM	D@POM above buttress	D@ original POM	write "previously D@POM (<u>small</u> , <u>intermediate</u> or <u>large</u> buttress)"
<u>Unknown POM change:</u>	POM not recorded or diameter estimated	‘Good’: above buttress & POM recorded	<u>one</u> measure: above buttress; paint new POM	D@POM above buttress	=D1	write "previously unknown POM" and/or "D previously estimated"

Note: Field sheet notation system:

(O) circle measurements to check

(v/OK) tick/OK to indicate previous or current measurement OK

(X) Cross out measurement to indicate unreliable previous measurement

(?) Questionable previous measurement

Further suggestions and analyses on how to deal with the difficult issue of deriving accurate long-term growth estimates from trees whose POM is changed are being developed currently (Lopez-Gonzalez et al., in preparation)

D. Mortality and recruitment

For dead trees, the mode of death should be recorded – fallen, broken, standing (i.e. with branches intact).

There are a special set of RAINFOR codes for tree status (alive + dead). See Appendix 1.

When recensusing, two people should do the tree measurements and carry nails, tags, and a hammer, and tag new recruits as they are found. Give them the number of the nearest tagged tree and add A, B etc, to keep the spatial pattern. Mark unidentified new trees (recruits) clearly with bright pink or orange tape for later collecting.

E. Dealing with errors

Think about the measures as you record them: The plot data sheets provide a lot of information about individual trees, i.e. size, taxon, and previous ‘traumas’ (e.g., ‘live, broken’) which may explain its disappearance since. The historical measurement progression gives the note-taker further insights which can be very useful (e.g. it helps to flag immediately if the new measurement may be error – can unusually large or negative changes in diameter be explained by recent changes to the trees’ local environment or condition?). In the field, if the measure called out shows an increase above the long-term trend, or a decrease, the note-taker should ask the measurer to remeasure immediately to check. The person taking notes should check carefully that no trees have been missed, particularly fallen trees. When recensusing try to follow spatial sequence of old numbers if possible: it makes it easier to work out the old numbers of trees who have lost their tags.

F. Suggested timing and personnel requirements

Recensusing 1-ha plot

Locating and stringing the plot: 3 people, 0.5 day

Tree tagging, painting and measurement: 4 people, 2 days

Large trees: 2 people, 1 day

Botanical collection of new recruits: 1-2 people, 1 day (less in low diversity forests)

Total ~13 person-day

Additional time may need to be allocated for significant rain delays, breaks for field-team rest and recreation, and unforeseen circumstances. Botanical collection-times are very variable, being sensitive to the difficulty (number of species), weather conditions, and the physical skills and technical knowledge of the team.

G. Data processing

Even with careful field procedures, problems can arise during data processing.

'Unlikely' recruits

Occasionally, relatively large trees of slow-growing species may 'appear' in the plot. We assume these were missed in the previous census and calculate their previous DRH using the median growth rate of the appropriate size class (10-20, 20-40 and 40+ cm).

Missing data

Use linear interpolation to estimate diameters of trees that have been missed during intermediate censuses.

Abnormal growth

Correct obvious typos in previous census data in the field. Often, incorrect measurements show up when a plot has several censuses, as odd measurements in an otherwise steady sequence. In these cases interpolated values are used.

In all cases, a record should be kept of the original measurement, the presumed error, and the correction made. The ForestPlots database includes facilities for recording all such data manipulations (see separate database manual).

Plot data organisation, one Excel file per plot

Worksheets 3 worksheets per file, giving tree, liana and site details


Columns Tree and liana sheets contain information on plot and stem number, taxonomy, all diameter measurements, and point of measurement (POM). Where two diameter measurements were made on a single stem, due to buttress growth above original POM, this data is included in separate columns (DDRH2 (2) and POM (2)). Field notes column includes observations useful in the field (leaning,

fluted etc); data notes column includes details of any manipulation of the data for that stem (missing data interpolated etc)

Rows One row per stem. Each stem of multiple stemmed individuals occupies one row.

H. Correction for optical digital camera measurements of tree diameter

Optical measurements of tree diameter underestimate true diameter:



where x = true radius, r = measured radius and y = distance from point of observation to point of measurement of tree ($= a / \cos\alpha$, where a is the horizontal distance to centre of tree, and α = angle of elevation from observation point, to point of measurement on the tree). Assuming the cross-section of the trunk is circular along the line of sight, the true radius is given by:

$$x = (0.5 * (y^2 - (y^4 - 4r^2y^2)^{1/2}))^{1/2}$$

Typically, the error is approximately 0.5 % of the measured diameter. It increases with tree size and decreases with larger distances between the tree and the point of observation.

DRH

References

- Clark, D.B. and Clark, D.A., 2000. Landscape-scale variation in forest structure and biomass in a tropical rain forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 137, 185-198.
- Condit, R., 1998. Tropical forest census plots. Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Condit, R., P.S. Ashton, N. Manokaran, J.V. LaFrankie, S.P. Hubbell and R.B. Foster. 1999. Dynamics of the forest communities at Pasoh and Barro Colorado: comparing two 50 ha plots. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 354: 1739-1748.
- Dallmeier, F., 1992. Long-term monitoring of biological diversity in tropical forest areas. UNESCO, Paris.
- López-Gonzalez, G., Lewis, S.L., Baker T.R., Graham, A.W., Hilbert D.W., Monteagudo Mendoza, A., Peacock, J., Swaine, M.D., Vásquez Martínez, R., and Phillips, O.L. Trees with point of measurement changes: implications and practical methods for reducing bias when calculating growth, basal area, and biomass from long-term forest plot data. In preparation 2009.
- Malhi, Y., Phillips, O.L., Baker, T., Almeida, S., Fredericksen, T., Grace, J., Higuchi, N., Killeen, T., Laurance, W.L., Leão, C., Lloyd, J., Meir, P., Monteagudo, A., Neill, D., Núñez Vargas, P., Panfil, S., Pitman, N., Rudas LI, A., Salamão, R., Saleska, S., Silva, N., Silveira, M., Sombroek, W.G., Valencia, R., Vásquez Martínez, R., Vieira, I. and Vinceti, B., 2001. An international network to understand the biomass and dynamics of Amazonian forests (RAINFOR). 2002. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 13: 439-450.
- Swaine, M.D., Hall, J.B. and Alexander, I.J., 1987. Tree population dynamics at Kade, Ghana (1968-1982). *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 3, 331-345.
- Veillon, J.P. 1985. El crecimiento de algunos bosques naturales de Venezuela en relacion con los parametros del medio ambiente. *Revista Forestal Venezolana* 29, 5-121.

Appendix 1. RAINFOR field work database codes for trees.

FLAG 1 : ALIVE STATUS (for dead trees = 0).

a = alive normal

b = alive, broken stem/top & resprouting, or at least phloem/xylem. Note at what height stem is broken.

c = alive, leaning by $\geq 10\%$

d = alive, fallen (e.g. on ground)

e = alive, tree fluted and/or fenestrated

f = alive, hollow

g = alive, rotten

h = multiple stemmed individual (each stem > 99 mm gets a number), always use with another code – e.g. if a tree is normal and with multiple stems, use ‘ah’, etc.

i = alive, no leaves/few leaves

j = alive, burnt

k = alive snapped < 1.3 m

l = alive, has liana ≥ 10 cm d on stem or in canopy

m = covered by lianas (note only in the case where canopy is at least 50% covered by lianas, even where no individual liana reaches 10 cm d)

n = new (recruit), always use with another code – e.g. if a tree is normal and new the code = ‘an’, if a tree is broken and new the code is ‘bn’, etc.

o = lightning damage

p = cut

q = bark loose or flaking off

s = has strangler

t = is a strangler

z = alive, declining productivity (nearing death, diseased etc.)

Note, tree status codes can be used together in whatever combination is necessary! Thus, for example, a multiple stemmed, leaning and broken tree would be coded *bch*

Developed in 2005-2009 by RAINFOR participants
(Oliver Phillips, Tim Baker, Kuo-Jung Chao, Eliana Jiménez, Simon Lewis, Jon Lloyd, Julie Peacock, Gabriela Lopez-Gonzalez, Ted Feldpausch, Abel Monteagudo)

Questions?: o.phillips@leeds.ac.uk

FLAG 2: MODE OF DEATH (for alive trees = 1)

1) *Physical Mechanism of Mortality (How the tree died)*

- a = standing
- b = broken (snapped trunk)
- c = uprooted (root tip-up)
- d = standing or broken, probably standing (not uprooted)
- e = standing or broken, probably broken (not uprooted)
- f = standing or broken (not uprooted)
- g = broken or uprooted, probably uprooted
- h = broken or uprooted, probably broken
- i = broken or uprooted (not standing)
- j = anthropogenic
- k = vanished (found location, tree looked for but not found)
- l = presumed dead (location of tree not found e.g. problems, poor maps etc)
- m = unknown death
- n = burnt
- o = lightning

2) *Number of trees in Mortality Event*

- p = died alone (i.e., did not die with another tree; it can have died with a liana or strangler) – GO TO PART 3
- q = one of multiple deaths – GO TO PART 3
- r = died alone or one of multiple deaths (unknown)

3) *Killed or killer*

- s = killed or killer (unknown)
- t = killer
- u = killed, no more information
- v = killed by tree that died broken
- w = killed by another tree that uprooted
- x = killed by branches fallen from dead standing tree
- y = killed by branches fallen from living tree
- z = killed by strangler
- 2 = killed by liana
- 3 = killed by strangler/liana weight [tree died broken or fallen], use in combination with z and/or 2
- 4 = killed by strangler/liana competition [tree died standing] , use in combination with z and/or 2

Note, tree death codes can be used together in whatever combination is necessary! (for example, *op* = killed by lightning alone; *jl* = presumed dead, anthropogenic)

With multiple deaths the number of trees died should to be recorded. In the database this gets entered in the “comments” field.

With broken trees the height at which the breakage occurred should to be recorded.

If liana(s)/strangler(s) were involved in killing the killer tree, then any trees which are in turn killed by the killer tree need to be linked to the ultimate cause of death. For each tree which is killed this way we propose putting the ultimate cause of death in brackets. So, for example: *qv(2)* or *qw(3)* or *qx(4)*.

FLAG 3: MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE

- 0 = normal measurement, tape measure
- 1 = relascope
- 2 = digital camera
- 3 = estimate
- 4 = ladder, with diameter tape
- 5 = unknown

FLAG 4: DATA MANIPULATION

- 0 = normal measurement (no retrospective modification)
- 1 = extrapolated from previous measurements forwards, or final measurement backwards
- 2 = correct expected typographical error
- 3 = interpolated (two good measurements either side of a problem measurement)
- 4 = estimation using median growth rates
- 5 = data converted from imperial units to metric units
- 6 = the POM was changed because it had to be, good measurement before
- 7 = zero growth rate assumed
- 8 = another transformation, see notes / it is not clear what was done
- 9 = zero growth.

Comments: Everything else! If a tree is outside a plot, add to comments, but leave blank in census data.

Appendix 2. KEY to the mode of tree death (Kuo-Jung Chao)

- 1A. Standing with fine dead branches (< 10 cm), and no resprouts on the main trunk *died standing*
(code: a)

- 1B. Standing stump without fine dead branches and with main trunk on the ground **2**
 - 2a. Stump with dead resprout(s) < 5 cm *died broken* (code: b,
also note broken height by m)
 - 2b. Stump without resprouts or with dead resprout(s) > 5 cm **3**
 - 3a. Trunks scattered around with no specific coherent direction *died standing (then
broken afterward)* (code: a)
 - 3b. Only with one main trunk on the ground, or a few sections but in one coherent direction. **4**
 - 4a. Vegetation damage noticeable and the fallen trunk with intact dead fine / crown branches (< 10 cm, no resprouts), the trunk on the ground is still hard, and/or the standing stump with jagged end *died broken* (code: b,
also note broken height by m)
 - 4b. Vegetation damage not noticeable and the fallen trunk without fine / crown branches, the fallen trunk with fungi perpendicular to the ground, and/or the end of the stump is somewhat smooth/ soft *died standing (then
broken afterward)* (code: a)

- 1C. Fallen trunk on the ground without obvious stump **2**
 - 2a Root bole partially or wholly raised ('tip-up') and with some soil exposed *died uprooted* (code c)
 - 2a Root bole not raised **3**
 - 3a Vegetation damage noticeable, with fine branches, and/or with resprout(s) *broken at 0 m* (code b,
note broken height at 0 m)
 - 3b Vegetation damage not noticeable, roots in advanced decomposition stage, and/or with fungi perpendicular to the ground *died standing, roots
decomposed, and then fallen (e.g., palm trees)* (code: a)




Figure from Gale (1997)